MURPHY, GOLDAMMER & PRENDERGAST, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Jeremiah D. Murphy Vance R.C. Goldammer Terry N. Prendergast Matthew S. McCaulley

Michael L. Luce

Christopher L. Fideler *
Rochelle R. Sweetman
Paul V. Goldammer t

101 North Phillips Avenue Wells Fargo Building, Suite 4/12 Sinux Falla, SD 571/14

Post Office Box 1535 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57101-1535 Of Counsel: James E. McMahon

ACIRCLPI NI CHRACILI (RIA * ATRIBONIM NI CURNERA) (RAIA *

matt@mgplawfirm.com Personal Facsimile: 877-237-8301

> Telephone: 605-331-2975 l'acsimile: 605-331-6473

November 22, 2010

VIA US MAIL & FEDERAL EXPRESS - Tracking No. 872388531798

Christopher Hughey, Esquire Acting General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20453

Re: Respanse of Kristi Lynn Noem and Kristi for Congress in MUR 6415
Our Film No. 12180.003

Dear Mr. Hughey:

This firm is counsel for the Respondents in the above referenced matter under review. Please accept this letter as the Response by the undersigned counsel on behalf of Mrs. Kristi Lynn Noem and Kristi for Congress in response to the Complaint designated as Matter Under Review 6415.

The Control of this matter was filed by Betty Breck of Groton, South Dakota. The Complaint is frivations. In fact, the Complaint is the Kristi for Congress complied with federal lew and the Federal Election Commission ("FEC") regulations.

Ms. Breck's sole allegation is that Kristi for Congress failed to print duplicate disclaimers on a full-page newspaper advertisement which was placed in newspapers throughout the state (the "Advertisement"). Specifically, Ms. Breck alleges that the Advertisement contained two boxes—the top box was black on white and the bottom box was white on black. The Complaint alleges that these two boxes gave the "appearance" of two separate advertisements and thus required two separate disclaimers.

Ms. Benefit's Complaint goes on to state that the Advertisement was delivered "to the [South Daketa Newspaper Association] as one full-page, comera-ready as, paid for by Noem and her committee." Also, according to the Complaint, the top kox of the Advertisement was "clearly marked 'Paid for by Kristi for Congress', as required by law."

Federal law, in particular 2 USC § 441d(a)(1), states "[w]henever a political committee makes a disbursement for the purpose of financing any communication through any...nawspaper..., such communication if paid for and authorized by a pandidate, an authorized political committee of a candidate, brite agents, shall clearly state that the communication has been paid for by each authorized petitical committee..."

In addition, the applicable FEC regulations set forth specific requirements for printed communications. These requirements state that disclaimers on any printed public communication must be of sufficient type and size to be clearly readable by the recipient of the communication, that the disclaimer be contained in a printed box set apart from the other contents of the communication and that the disclaimer must be printed with a reasontable degree of colbr contrast between the background and the printed statement. 11 CFR § 110.11(c)(2).

Kristi for Congress did in fact pay for the Advertisement, which was a full-page newspaper advertisement that was placed in newspapers throughout the state of South Dakota. As stated in the Complaint, the Advertisement contained the language "Paid for by Kristi for Congress" as required by law. In addition, the required disclaimer language was clearly readable, contained in a printed box set apart from the other contents of the communication and was printed in black letters against a white background. The Advertisement completely and fully complies with all federal law and FEC regulations with respect to printed displaimers.

Please feet free to contact me if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Sincerely,

MURPHY, GOLDAMMER & PRENDERGAST, LLP

Matthew S. McCaulley

MSM/sem

cc: Kristi Lynn Noem (via email only)
Kristi for Congress (via email only)